

Regulatory Committee  
Meeting to be held on the 17 December 2014

## **Guidance on the actions to be taken following submission of a Public Path Order to the Secretary of State**

### **Procedural step**

Once an Order has been made it is advertised it may attract objections and representations. These are considered by the Authority and efforts made to get them withdrawn. If there are any objections or representations duly made and not subsequently withdrawn the Authority may -

1. Consider that information is now available or circumstances have changed such that the confirmation test would be difficult to satisfy and that the Order be not proceeded with;
2. Consider that the Order should be sent into the Secretary of State with the authority promoting the Order and submitting evidence and documentation according to which ever procedure the Secretary of State adopts to deal with the Order; or
3. Consider that the Order be sent to the Secretary of State with the authority taking a neutral stance as to confirmation

### **Recovery of Costs from an Applicant**

The Authority may only charge a third party if it has power to do so. We can charge an applicant for a public path order but only up to a particular point in the procedure – in particular, once the Order is with the Secretary of State we cannot recharge the costs incurred promoting the Order at a public inquiry, hearing or by written representations.

The power to charge is found in the - **Local Authorities (Recovery of Costs for Public Path Orders) Regulations 1993/407**

### **Power to charge in respect of the making and confirmation of public path orders**

(1) Where—

- (a) the owner, lessee or occupier of land or the operator of a railway requests an authority to make a public path order under section 26, 118, 118A, 119 or 119A of the 1980 Act, or
- (b) any person requests an authority to make a public path order under section 257 or 261(2) of the 1990 Act, and the authority comply with that request, they may impose on the person making the request any of the charges mentioned in paragraph (2) below.

(2) Those charges are—

(a) a charge in respect of the costs incurred in the making of the order; and

(b) a charge in respect of each of the following local advertisements, namely the local advertisements on the making, on the confirmation, and on the coming into operation or force, of the order.

### **Amount of charge**

(1) Subject to paragraphs (2) and (3) below, the amount of a charge shall be at the authority's discretion.

(3) The amount of a charge in respect of any one of the local advertisements referred to in regulation 3(2)(b) shall not exceed the cost of placing one advertisement in one newspaper

### **Refund of charges**

The authority shall, on application by the person who requested them to make the public path order, refund a charge where—

(a) they fail to confirm an unopposed order; or

(b) having received representations or objections which have been duly made, and have not been withdrawn, the authority fail to submit the public path order to the Secretary of State for confirmation, without the agreement of the person who requested the order; or

(c) the order requested was an order made under section 26 of the 1980 Act and proceedings preliminary to the confirmation of that order were not taken concurrently with proceedings preliminary to the confirmation of an order made under section 118 of the 1980 Act; or

(d) the public path order is not confirmed by the authority or, on submission to the Secretary of State, by him, on the ground that it was invalidly made.

Policy Guidance on these Regulations is found in Circular 11/1996. Administrative charges can be charged up to the point where the order is submitted for determination and thereafter for advertising the confirmation decision and any separate notice of the Order coming into operation or force.

### **Careful consideration of stance**

Recently there has careful analysis of all the work officers do and the cost of these resources and how to best use the resources.

The above Regulations have been considered and it is advised that the test as to when an Order should be promoted be clarified and applied consistently.

It is advised that consideration needs to be given to whether the diversion is of such little or no real public benefit such that resources should not be allocated to promoting the Order once submitted although where there is no substantial disbenefits to the public the applicants be able to promote the Order themselves.

This is not the same as considering whether the Order can be confirmed as set out in the statute. It is consideration of what actions the Authority should take on submitting the Order. It is not an easy consideration but officers will be able to advise in each particular matter.